



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/574,408

03/30/2006

Paul Raymond Smith

7397336401

7547

24197

7590

04/10/2009

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

121 SW SALMON STREET

SUITE 1600

PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

HANNON, THOMAS R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3656

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/10/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/574,408		<b>Applicant(s)</b> SMITH, PAUL RAYMOND	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas R. Hannon		<b>Art Unit</b> 3656	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas R. Hannon. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jeffrey Haendler. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Newley et al. US 5,660,482.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's noted that the chromium plating of the Newley reference is not a self-lubricating material. Applicant suggested the possibility of presenting evidence in the record as to the common acceptable definition of self-lubricating material to overcome the broad interpretation in the rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thomas R. Hannon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656	
---	--